

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/577,608	05/25/2000	Eigo Kawakami	862.C1917	6496	
5514 7	5514 7590 10/28/2004			EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			CHURCH, CRAIG E		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2882		
				DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/577,608	KAWAKAMI ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Craig E. Church	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ◯ Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12-20.22.24.25 and 27-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12-20,22,24,25,27-31</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed office deticn for a list	or the defined copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claims 16-20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "said static bearing" in line 5 of claim 16.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20, 22-25 and 27-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for substituting nitrogen and helium in an x-ray lithography exposure chamber, does not reasonably provide enablement for any gases in any environment as claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. As an example, corrosive gas such as nitrous oxide would easily damage the recited static pressure bearing. The specification (lines 1-10 of page 8) states that the invention is directed to x-ray lithography, and it is recommended that the scope of the claims be so limited.

Claims 1-20, 22-25 and 27-31 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed September 10, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amendments to claims 1 and 9 are superficial, and applicant has made no substantive effort to place this application in condition for allowance.

While being enabling for substituting nitrogen and helium in a static bearing in an x-ray lithography exposure chamber, the disclosure does not reasonably provide enablement for any gases in any environment as claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. As an example, corrosive gas such as nitrous oxide would easily damage the recited static pressure bearing. The specification (lines 1-10 of page 8) states that the invention is directed to x-ray lithography, and it is recommended that the scope of the claims be so limited by positively reciting an x-ray source, x-ray optical means for projecting a pattern on a semiconductor wafer and wafer positioning means including a static bearing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Craig E. Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882

Crang E Clurch